16 Anthropomorphic Aesthetics

Tuesday, March 08, 2016 4:44 PM

Today: Universal Principles of Design

General Aesthetics

20 Aesthetic-Usability Effect 26 Anthromorphic Form 34 Baby-Face Bias 242 Uncanny Valley 240 Top-Down Lighting Bias

Anthropomorphic Aesthetics

A Faster Horse, 5 pm FLMG 156. No office hours tonight, I'll be at the movie

20 Aesthetic-Usability Effect

http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-

tutorials/Aesthetic-usabilityeffect/193717/436485-4.html

24 Alignment http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-

tutorials/Alignment/193717/421223-4.html

26 Anthromorphic Form

28 Archetypes

30 Area Alignment

32 Attractiveness Bias

34 Baby-Face Bias

Black effects http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-tutorials/Black-effects/193717/436476-4.html 2/22/16

42 Classical Conditioning 46 Cognitive Dissonance

48 Color

70 Defensible Space 80 Entry Point 86 Exposure Effect

88 Face-ism Ratio

94 Fibonacci Sequence 108 Framing

114 Golden Ratio

130 Hunter-Nurturer Fixations

156 Mimicry

PDF

2016-03-08 17-29 aesthetic use

164 Most Average Facial Appearance Effect

174 Operant Conditioning 190 Propositional Density 192 Prospect-Refuge

202 Red Effect http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-

tutorials/Red-effects/193717/436475-4.html

208 Rule of Thirds212 Savanna Preference

216 Scarcity218 Self-Similarity224 Signal-to-Noise Ratio

226 Similarity 228 Stickiness 230 Storytelling 234 Symmetry

Supernormal stimuli http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-tutorials/Supernormal-stimuli/193717/436479-4.html 2/22/16 240 Top-Down Lighting Bias http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-tutorials/ 240 Top-Down Lighti

Education-tutorials/Top-Down-Lighting-Bias/193717/426774-4.html

242 Uncanny Valley 248 Veblen Effect 256 Wabi -Sabi 258 Waist-to-Hip Ratio

White effects http://www.lynda.com/Higher-Education-tutorials/White-effects/193717/436477-4.html 2/22/16

Aesthetic-Usability Effect

Aesthetic designs are perceived as easier to use than less-aesthetic designs.¹

The aesthetic-usability effect describes a phenomenon in which people perceive more-aesthetic designs as easier to use than less-aesthetic designs—whether they are or not. The effect has been observed in several experiments, and has significant implications regarding the acceptance, use, and performance of a design.²

Aesthetic designs look easier to use and have a higher probability of being used, whether or not they actually are easier to use. More usable but less-aesthetic designs may suffer a lack of acceptance that renders issues of usability moot. These perceptions bias subsequent interactions and are resistant to change. For example, in a study of how people use computers, researchers found that early impressions influenced long-term attitudes about their quality and use. A similar phenomenon is well documented with regard to human attractiveness—first impressions of people influence attitude formation and measurably affect how people are perceived and treated.³

Aesthetics play an important role in the way a design is used. Aesthetic designs are more effective at fostering positive attitudes than unaesthetic designs, and make people more tolerant of design problems. For example, it is common for people to name and develop feelings toward designs that have fostered positive attitudes (e.g., naming a car), and rare for people to do the same with designs that have fostered negative attitudes. Such personal and positive relationships with a design evoke feelings of affection, loyalty, and patience—all significant factors in the long-term usability and overall success of a design. These positive relationships have implications for how effectively people interact with designs. Positive relationships with a design result in an interaction that helps catalyze creative thinking and problem solving. Negative relationships result in an interaction that narrows thinking and stifles creativity. This is especially important in stressful environments, since stress increases fatigue and reduces cognitive performance.⁴

Always aspire to create aesthetic designs. Aesthetic designs are perceived as easier to use, are more readily accepted and used over time, and promote creative thinking and problem solving. Aesthetic designs also foster positive relationships with people, making them more tolerant of problems with a design.

See also Attractiveness Bias, Contour Bias, Form Follows Function, Golden Ratio, Law of Prägnanz, Ockham's Razor, and Rule of Thirds.

- Note that the authors use the term aestheticusability effect for convenient reference.
 It does not appear in the seminal work or subsequent research.
- ² The seminal work on the aesthetic-usability effect is "Apparent Usability vs. Inherent Usability: Experimental Analysis on the Determinants of the Apparent Usability" by Masaaki Kurosu and Kaori Kashimura, *CHI '95 Conference Companion*, 1995, p. 292-293.
- ^a "Forming Impressions of Personality" by Solomon E. Asch, *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1946, vol. 41, 258–290.
- 4 "Emotion & Design: Attractive Things Work Better" by Donald Norman, www.jnd.org, 2002.





Baby-Face Bias

A tendency to see people and things with baby-faced features as more naïve, helpless, and honest than those with mature features

People and things with round features, large eyes, small noses, high foreheads, short chins, and relatively lighter skin and hair are perceived as babylike and, as a result, as having babylike personality attributes: naiveté, helplessness, honesty, and innocence. The bias is found across all age ranges, cultures, and many mammalian species.1

The degree to which people are influenced by the baby-face bias is evident in how babies are treated by adults. For example, babies with weak baby-face features receive less positive attention from adults and are rated as less likable, less attractive, and less fun to be with than babies with strong baby-face features. Large, round heads and eyes appear to be the strongest of the facial cues contributing to this bias. For example, premature babies often lack these key baby-face features (e.g., their eyes are closed, and their heads are less round) and are rated by adults as less desirable to care for or be around. A potentially related phenomenon is the rate of child abuse for premature babies, which is approximately 300 percent greater than for normal-term babies.²

Baby-faced adults are subject to a similar biased. However, unlike with children, there are liabilities to being a baby-faced adult. Baby-faced adults appearing in commercials are effective when their role involves innocence and honesty, such as a personal testimonial for a product, but ineffective when their role involves speaking authoritatively about a topic, such as a doctor asserting the benefit of a product. Baby-faced adults are perceived as simple and naïve, and have difficulty being taken seriously in situations where expertise or confrontation is required. In legal proceedings, baby-faced adults are more likely to be found innocent when the alleged crime involves an intentional act, but are more likely to be found guilty when the alleged crime involves a negligent act. It is apparently more believable that a baby-faced person would do wrong accidentally than purposefully. Interestingly, when a baby-faced defendant pleads guilty, they receive harsher sentences than mature-faced defendants—it seems the contrast between the expectation of innocence and the conclusion of guilt evokes a harsher reaction than when the expectation and the conclusion align.

Consider the baby-face bias in the design of characters or products when facial attributes are prominent (e.g., cartoon characters for children). Characters of this type can be made more appealing by exaggerating the various neonatal features (e.g., larger, rounder eyes). In marketing and advertising, use mature-faced people when conveying expertise and authority; use baby-faced people when conveying testimonial information and submissiveness.

See also Anthropomorphic Form, Contour Bias, Attractiveness Bias, Mimicry, and Savanna Preference.

- The seminal work on the baby-face bias is "Ganzheit und Teil in der tierischen und menschlichen Gemeinschaft" [Part and Parcel in Animal and Human Societies] by Konrad Lorenz, Studium Generale, 1950, vol. 3(9).
- ² See Reading Faces: Window to the Soul by Leslie A. Zebraowitz, Westview Press, 1998. There are many other factors that could account for this statistic. For example, the level of care and frequency of crying in premature babies is significantly higher than for normalterm babies, which could contribute to the stress of the caregiver.